| Intervention | Component Elements and Products | Duration[[1]](#footnote-1) | Amount[[2]](#footnote-2) | Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RUSSIA | | | | |
| [*Timishev v. Russia*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/timishev-v-russia) (ECtHR: case dismissed 2013) | **Organizational and individual consultancies**  Lamberth Consulting: 70001153 (2008, $9,000)  Eduard Kurilovich (interpretation and translation, client liaison): 60007152 (2011-present)  **Fellowship**  Russia Antidiscrimination Fellowship (2006, $30,000)  **Grants**  ADC Memorial 2010: [OR2010-29537](https://myapplications.my.salesforce.com/006d00000075IY9?srPos=5&srKp=006) ($16,415) (matched by Russia Program)  **Events**  [Combatting Discrimination in Russia: Strategies for Lawyers and NGOs](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/reports/combating-discrimination-russia-strategies-lawyers-and-ngos) (Conference and Report, 2003) | 2003-present | 2005: $80,200[[3]](#footnote-3)  2006: $59,514  2008: $9,000  2010: $16,415  2011: $6,300  2012: $250  2013: $1,121  2014: $1,750  ***Sub-total:***  ***$174,550*** | Beginning in 2003, the Justice Initiative built cases to challenge a range of discriminatory practices, from forced evictions of Roma communities to ethnic profiling and racially driven police beatings. The project was designed to encourage NGOs and Russian lawyers to engage courts, both domestic and international, as tools in combating discrimination. |
| [*Makhashev v. Russia*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/makhashev-v-russia)(ECtHR: judgment 2012) |
| [*Mikhaj v. Russia*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/mikhaj-and-others-v-russia)(ECtHR: declared inadmissible 2012) |
| [*Bagdonavichus v. Russia*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/bagdonavichus-v-russia)(ECtHR: filed 2006, judgment pending) |
| [Ethnic Profiling in the Moscow Metro](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/reports/ethnic-profiling-moscow-metro) (Report, 2006) | **Organizational consultancies**  Lamberth Consulting | 2005-06 | 2005: $85,933  2006: $40,747  2007: $1,659 (reprint)  ***Sub-total: $128,339*** | The Moscow study used the same methodology employed in the US and UK to measure the pervasiveness of discriminatory stops by police. It found that metro riders who are non-Slavic in appearance were over twenty times more likely to be stopped than those with Slavic features. The study marked the beginning of a broader focus within the Justice Initiative on profiling practices by the police in Europe. At the time the study represented an innovative approach to what was (and remains) an evidentiary vacuum in litigating profiling cases in Russia and beyond. |
| BULGARIA | | | | |
| [*Nikolova v. CEZ*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/nikolova-v-cez-electricity)(CJEU: filed 2014, judgment pending) | **Individual consultancies**  Daniela Mihaylova: 60010714 (domestic counsel, 2015, $5,000)  Ruth Tobler: 60010083 (vetting EU law arguments, 2014, $3,475)  **Communications and advocacy**  [Case Watch ahead of oral argument](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/case-watch-inaccessible-electricity-meters-roma-challenged-under-eu-law) (Voices)  [Case Watch upon release of Advocate General opinion](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/case-watch-eu-courts-legal-adviser-assesses-roma-discrimination-case) (Voices) | 2014-present | 2014: $3,475  2015: $5,000  ***Sub-total:***  ***$8,475*** | The Justice Initiative is representing Anelia Nikolova before the CJEU in a case that challenged the majority Czech owned electricity company CEZ’s practice of elevating electricity meters seven meters from the ground in “Roma districts,” leaving the meters at head height and easily accessible in other districts. Advocate General Kokott’s March 2015 opinion embraced many of the Justice Initiative’s arguments on the application of EU antidiscrimination protection in the case. A decision by the CJEU is expected July 16, 2015. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FRANCE | | | | |
| [*S.A.S. v. France*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/sas-v-france)(ECtHR: written comments and follow up report, 2011-14; judgment 2014) | **Individual consultancies**  Naima Bouteldja contract: 60008645 (After the Ban report researcher and author; 2013, $2,500)  **Communications**  [Voices piece](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/case-watch-echr-says-living-together-justifies-ban-full-face-veils) on judgment (2014)  [Analysis of case ahead of judgment](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/briefing-papers/france-s-veil-ban-european-court-human-rights) (Briefing Paper, 2014)  [After the Ban: The Experiences of 35 Women of the Full Face Veil in France](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/sites/default/files/after-the-ban-experience-full-face-veil-france-20140210.pdf) [PDF] (Report – submitted to ECtHR, 2013) | 2011-present | 2013: $2,500  ***Sub-total:***  ***$2,500*** | The Justice Initiative submitted a third party intervention in this case challenging a nationwide ban on the wearing of full-face veils in public spaces in France. In its controversial 2014 judgment the ECtHR Grand Chamber upheld the ban as a necessary measure to support “living together” under French law – a concept that has not previously figured in the Court’s jurisprudence. |
| [*French Profiling Litigation*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/challenging-discriminatory-police-ethnic-profiling-france) (domestic civil and constitutional challenges; civil judgments 2015) | **Grants**  Espace Projets Interassociatifs 2011: [OR2011-33181](https://myapplications.my.salesforce.com/006d00000075JEB?srPos=1&srKp=006) ($19,172)  **Organizational and individual consultancies**  Gwenaele Calves contract: 60004842 (2009)  Cabinet Beauquier Belloy Gauvain/Felix Belloy contract: (civil case) 70002915/ 60004845 (2009-12, $17,881; 2013, $7,800; 2014: $9,076)  Cabinet le Mailloux contract: 70004109 (2013, $3,250)  Cabinet Bourdon contract: 70002420 (constitutional challenge)  Slim Benachour contract: 60007063, 60008878 (2012, $20,640; 2013, $7,800; 2014, $6,231)  **Communications and advocacy**  [Press release](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/press-releases/paris-court-accepts-appeal-french-police-ethnic-profiling-case) on 2015 judgments by Paris Court of Appeal | 2009-present | 2009: $20,000  2010: $4,088  2011: $44,547  2012: $38,521  2013: $18,850  2014: $34,157  ***Sub-total:***  ***$160,163*** | Between 2010 and 2013, the Justice Initiative developed and launched domestic-level cases challenging discrimination in Germany and France. The French intervention tackled the problem of ethnic profiling by French police, which we had already explored in our report: [Profiling Minorities](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/reports/profiling-minorities-study-stop-and-search-practices-paris). From 2013 to the present, the French profiling litigation formed part of a unified project dedicated to achieving fair and effective policing, in Europe and beyond. Spending and activities captured here are therefore artificially bisected from the advocacy and campaigning work that has taken place in connection with [Justice Initiative and OSIFE policing projects](https://karl.soros.org/communities/strategy-unit/files/results-assessment/portfolio-reviews/2015-materials-from-presidential-portfolio-reviews-documents-for-internal-use-only/open-society-initiative-for-europe-osife-ethnic-profiling-in-europe-april-1-2015/) in France. In June 2015, the Paris Court of Appeal found in favor of five of the plaintiffs, declaring the stops discriminatory and awarding compensation. |
| [Profiling Minorities: A Study of Stop and Search Practices in Paris](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/reports/profiling-minorities-study-stop-and-search-practices-paris) (Report, 2009) | **Organizational consultancy**  Lamberth Consulting | 2008-09 | 2008: $41,730  2009: $10,000  ***Sub-total:***  ***$51,730*** | Like the Moscow metro study, this report published research tracking and recording ethnic profiling by the police in Paris. The Paris study demonstrated that individuals identified as “Blacks” and “North Africans” are checked respectively six and eight times more than “Whites.” |
| GERMANY | | | | |
| [*Domestic Headscarf Ban*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/german-headscarves-ban)(German Federal Constitutional Court: amicus 2012; decision 2015) | -- | 2010-2012 | -- | The Justice Initiative submitted third party observations to the German Federal Constitutional Court (FCC) arguing that the state of North Rhine-Westphalia’s ban on wearing non-Western religious dress in German schools violates European Union equality legislation as well as the prohibition on discrimination under the European Convention on Human Rights. The FCC struck down the law in a March 2015 decision. |
| *Cicek v. Lübeck Education Authority* (2010) | **Organizational consultancy**  Kanzlei Mensche und Rechten (Oliver Tolmein) contract: 70002884 (2010-12, LRA) | 2010-12 | 2010: $20,872  2011: $2,329  2012: $1,340  ***Sub-total:***  ***$22,212*** | This case challenged the practice of separate schooling for children with disabilities in Lübek, Germany. The case settled when authorities agreed to a placement in a mainstream school. |
| [*Y., T. and A. v. Berlin Education Authority*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/y-t-v-berlin-education-authority)(first instance Berlin administrative court: filed 2012, dismissed 2013) | **Grants**  Institute for Migration and Racism Research (iMiR) 2011: [OR2011-31476](https://myapplications.my.salesforce.com/006d00000075IvT?srPos=15&srKp=006&srS=1) ($13,462)  Migrationsrat Berlin Brandenberg (MRBB) 2012: [OR2012-37711](https://myapplications.my.salesforce.com/006d0000008IuFK?srPos=1&srKp=006) ($7,924)  **Individual consultancies**  Carsten Ilius contracts: 60008883 (2013, LRA, $5,240); 60007015 (2012, $9,850); (2011, $9,261)  Meral El contract: 60007162 (2012, $36,400)  Cengiz Barskanmaz contract: 60006319 (2012, $37440); 60006377 (2011, $24,660)  Alexander Klose contract: 60007987 (2012, $9,750; 2013, $3,275)  **PLEASE SEE**: [Germany Litigation Portfolio Review](https://karl.soros.org/communities/justice-initiative-administration/files/internal-meetings/2014/february-2014/portfolio-reviews/germany-portfolio-review-memo-feb-2014-final.docx/) and [Elements](https://karl.soros.org/communities/justice-initiative-administration/files/board/2014/2014-march-27-28/7.4.3-elements-for-the-germany-portfolio-review.docx/) (Justice Initiative Board, Equality and Inclusion Sub-committee, March 2014) | 2010-2013 | 2011: $47,383  2012: $101,364  2013: $8,515  ***Sub-total:***  ***$157,262*** | The Justice Initiative developed strategic cases in Berlin administrative courts designed to tackle the problem of de facto separated classes for students of migrant background in primary and secondary schools. The cases were filed in mid-2012 and dismissed in October 2013. The fact that three students had filed court cases alleging that they had experienced segregation in Berlin schools sparked heated debate and illustrated the lack of a more accessible form of redress for their claims. |
| Public awareness raising campaign and complaints mechanism advocacy | **Grants**  MRBB 2013: [OR2013-03354](https://myapplications.my.salesforce.com/006d0000009HOHP?srPos=2&srKp=006) ($45,942)  MRBB 2014: [OR2014-17230](https://myapplications.my.salesforce.com/006d000000Ncfx6?srPos=0&srKp=006) ($58,000)  **Individual consultancies**  Carsten Ilius contract: 60009976 (2014, $10,000)  Inga Uleviciute contract: 60008801 (2013, 1,750 EUR)  Meral El contract: ID (2013, $44,540; 2014, $18,765)  **Communications and Treaty Body Reports**  [Discrimination in German Schools, Questions and Answers](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/briefing-papers/discrimination-german-schools-questions-answers) (Briefing Paper, 2013)  [Discrimination in German Schools: Fact Sheet](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/fact-sheets/discrimination-german-schools) (1-pager, 2013)  [Standing up for Equality](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/reports/standing-equality-germanys-schools) (Report, 2013)  [Voices piece](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/german-schools-quiet-deep-discrimination-problem) (with photography) (2013)  [UN Human Rights Committee submission](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/briefing-papers/submission-un-human-rights-committee-review-germany) (2012)  [Universal Periodic Review submission](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/briefing-papers/submission-universal-periodic-review-review-germany) (2013)  **Events**  Photography Exhibition, November 2013  Symposium, November 2013  Berlin Antidiscrimination Meeting (March 2014) ($11,452 – XPL and vendors)  **PLEASE SEE**: [Germany Litigation Portfolio Review](https://karl.soros.org/communities/justice-initiative-administration/files/internal-meetings/2014/february-2014/portfolio-reviews/germany-portfolio-review-memo-feb-2014-final.docx/) and [Elements](https://karl.soros.org/communities/justice-initiative-administration/files/board/2014/2014-march-27-28/7.4.3-elements-for-the-germany-portfolio-review.docx/) (Justice Initiative Board, Equality and Inclusion Sub-committee, March 2014) | 2012-present | 2013: $90,482  2014: $98,217  ***Sub-total:***  ***$188,699*** | Building on the Justice Initiative’s engagement in pursuit of a strategic litigation campaign in Germany to challenge discriminatory practices in public education, non-litigation advocacy efforts have sought to further highlight the inadequacies of the remedies afforded to students and parents to address discrimination complaints within the school system. |
| SWITZERLAND | | | | |
| [*Ouardiri v. Switzerland*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/ouardiri-v-switzerland)(ECtHR: written comments 2010; declared inadmissible 2011) | -- | 2010 | -- | This case challenged a constitutional amendment in Switzerland that banned minarets. The Justice Initiative submitted a third party intervention upholding the importance of minarets in Muslim culture and arguing that the ban was discriminatory. The ECtHR dismissed the case as inadmissible in 2011, essentially for lack of standing. |
| ITALY[[4]](#footnote-4) | | | | |
| [*Salkanovic v. Italy*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/salkanovic-v-ministry-interior-italy)(Tribunal of Rome: judgment 2013) | Studio Legale Fachile contract: 70004367 (2012, $8,799; 2013, $4,634)  [Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) submission](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/briefing-papers/submission-committee-elimination-racial-discrimination-italy) (2012) | 2013-present | 2013: $8,799  2014: $4,634  ***Sub-total:***  ***$13,433*** | In May 2008 the Italian government declared a state of emergency with regard to so-called “Nomads,” i.e. ethnic Roma and Sinti. Using emergency powers granted to them, local prefects conducted a census of Roma by taking fingerprints, photographs and other personal information. In this case, the Justice Initiative successfully challenged the Roma census. The court ordered Italy’s Ministry of the Interior to destroy Salkanovic’s data and to pay him compensation. However, the court failed to recognize the collective nature of the complaint and refused to order relief to the thousands of victims whose personal data continue to be held by the government. |
| [*European Commission v. Italy*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/ec-v-italy)(CJEU, ongoing) | -- | 2010-present | -- | Since 2009, the Justice Initiative, together with other organizations, has requested the European Commission to commence infringement proceedings against Italy for violations of EU racial antidiscrimination and data protection law. The Justice Initiative is also involved in domestic proceedings against the nomad emergency measures (*Omerovich v. Italy*). |
| [*Omerovich v. Italy*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/omerovic-and-others-v-italy)(Milan civil tribunal: intervention filed 2010) | -- | 2010-2012 | -- | The Justice Initiative filed an amicus brief in domestic proceedings in Milan challenging the Nomad Emergency Decree to argue that the provisions violate European law and should be referred to the Court of Justice of the European Union. |
| CZECH REPUBLIC | | | | |
| Implementation of [*D.H. and Others v. Czech Republic*](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/litigation/dh-and-others-v-czech-republic)(ECtHR, judgment 2007) | **PLEASE SEE**: operational activities and associated spending covered in the [D.H. Implementation Portfolio Review](https://osf.box.com/s/ht0cyi7ill4tv7ihjsj50f3yu7o0rha5) materials. | 2007-present | ***Sub-total: $67,500***[[5]](#footnote-5) | The Justice Initiative has engaged in dynamic joint efforts by several OSF programs to ensure that the landmark judgment in *D.H. and Others v. Czech Republic* is implemented in practice. |
| REGIONAL | | | | |
| [Equality Data Initiative](http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/no-equality-without-data) | **Individual consultancies**  Angelina Atanasova (2013, $15,000; 2014, $12,500 (OSEPI))  Claire Fernandez (2014, $9,000 (OSEPI))  Migration Policy Group (2014, $24,375 (OSEPI))  **PLEASE SEE**: Equality Data Initiative Portfolio Review materials (staff level, 2014) | 2013-14 | 2013: $15,000  2014: $45,875  ***Sub-total:***  ***$60,875*** | The Equality Data Initiative is a multifaceted advocacy campaign to push for the collection of disaggregated data on specific minority groups in Europe. |

1. Dates are approximate and reflect operational engagement and scoping activities as well as periods of spending. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. All figures exclusive of translation, printing and mailing costs unless included in relevant grant budgets. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. 2005-08 figures based on non-itemized spending reports. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Figures for Italy are exclusive of spending under OSIFE and OSEPI budgets. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. This figure is an estimate based on the *D.H.* Implementation portfolio review elements document, tabulating spending out of Justice Initiative budgets, but not necessarily the Antidiscrimination project budget. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)